



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO     | ).   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,840         |      | 11/04/2003    | Shih Hsien Huang        | HUAN3221/EM         | 4035             |
| 23364              | 75   | 90 05/06/2005 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                    |      | HOMAS, PLLC   | MILLS, DANIEL J         |                     |                  |
| 625 SLAT<br>FOURTH |      |               | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ALEXAN             | DRIA | , VA 22314    | . 3679                  |                     |                  |
|                    |      |               | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/699,840  | HUANG, SHIH HSIEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Daniel J. Mills   | 3679              |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | action is non-final.  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/04/2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Suggestions

Claim 1 line 6: "hook-type elastic piece," should be -- hook-type elastic piece,--

### Drawing Objections

Figures 7-12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3679

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Figure 21 numbers 55, 56. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 5,611,103) in view of Maiocco (US 4,308,635). Lee discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, an automotive windshield wiper connection device comprising:

Art Unit: 3679

two side plates (14) parallel to each other and provided in the center of a bottom thereof with a pin hole (16) and a locking slot (15); a connection portion (the horizontal portion connecting the side plates) connecting said two side plates and provided at one end with an insertion type elastic piece (numeral 24; the patent states that the invention can be made of plastic, which is inherently elastic, and refers to 24 as a bayonet lock tongue) and at the other end with a hook-type elastic piece (20; Maiocco also discloses a structure of the type shown in applicant's drawings), said insertion-type elastic piece being provided with an insertion hole (47), a retaining hole (numeral 26 in Figure 6c) being located over said insertion hole, said connection portion being provided with a cover fixing slot (being defined by the area between the two left most portions indicated by numeral 20 in Figure 5) located in proximity of said hook-type elastic piece, said two side plates being provided with a protrusion (20) and a recess (the area of the side plate located inboard leftmost numeral 20) located at an outer end thereof; a cover (11) having a cover pin (shown at the end of 11 in Figure 5) by which said cover is pivoted with said cover fixing slot, said cover being provided with a strength retaining point (the hook at the end of 11) and a retaining point (23) corresponding respectively to said protrusion and said recess of said connection portion.

Lee fails to disclose a first elastic pad located on one side of the retaining hole, and a second elastic pad located on the side plate above the pinhole and locking slot.

Maiocco teaches the application of elastic pads formed on the side plate (Figure 2 numeral 24) to be old in the windshield wiper connector art. Maiocco teaches that these structures are useful for securing engagement of the wiper arm end to the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,840 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

connector. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a device as disclosed by Lee to include elastic pads in order to provide secure engagement of the wiper arm to the connector. The addition of an elastic pad corresponding to the applicant's first elastic pad would be useful to secure engagement of a bayonet type wiper arm. The addition of an elastic pad corresponding to the applicant's second elastic pad would be useful to secure engagement of a hook type wiper arm.

Art Unit: 3679

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Epple, Lee, and Poton each show various examples of windshield wiper frame connectors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Mills whose telephone number is 571-272-8115. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.J.M. 4-25-2005 DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel P Stodola